### Traitement du syndrome métabolique

•Qui traiter?

- •Pourquoi traiter?
- •Comment traiter?

Reaven GM: Banting lecture 1988. Role of insulin resistance in human disease. *Diabetes* 37:1595–1607, 1988.



Definition of metabolic syndrome WHO guidelines

 Abdominal obesity : WHR >0.90 men >0.85 women Triglycerides >150 mg/100 ml •HDL: <0.35 men , < 0.39 women •BP >140/>90 mm Hg •Fasting glucose : >110 mg/100ml, IR, DNID Microalbuminuria : >20 µg / min

#### ATP III: General Features of the Metabolic Syndrome

Risk Factor	Defining Level
Abdominal obesity	
(waist circumference)	
Men	>102 cm (>40 in.)
Women	>88 cm (>35 in.)
Elevated triglycerides	≥150 mg/dL
Low HDL cholesterol	
Men Women	<40 mg/dL <50 mg/dL
Raised blood pressure	≥130/≥85 mm Hg
Fasting glucose	<u>≥</u> 110 mg/dL

**AHA/ NHLBI 2005** 

"Pre- metabolic syndrome" **AHA/ NHLBI 2005** • Waist : 94 – 100 cm ♂ 80-87 cm ♀ + type 2 diabetes familial history before 60 y. + polycystic ovary + fatty liver + CRP > 3 mg/l+ impaired glucose tolerance + high Apo B + some populations.

#### **Metabolic syndrome**

#### **IDF** définition

•Obésité centrale : > 94 cm 3 ou 80 cm 2•Plus 2 des 4 facteurs:

> 1.TG > 150 mg/100 ml 2.HDL < 40mg / 100 ml 3.sTA  $\ge$  130 mm Hg ou dTA  $\ge$  85 mm Hg 4. glycémie > 100 ml ou DNID



#### Ele Ferannini ADA 2005 RISC study

#### Insulin resistance

#### Obésité abdominale

Activité physique

**FFA** 

Insuline HDL glucose HTA Triglycérides LDL IMT

#### Ele Ferannini ADA 2005 RISC study

#### San Antonio study Hunt Circulation 2004



	BMI (95% CI)*	Waist (95% CI)†	WHR (95%Cl)‡
Overall	1.10	1.19	1.37
	(1.07-1.13)	(1.16-1.22)	(1.34-1.41)
European	1.14	1.25	1.44
	(1.09-1.20)	(1.19-1.31)	(1.36-1.51)
Chinese	1.19	1.24	1.08
	(1.11-1.27)	(1.16-1.33)	(1.03-1.14)
South Asian	0.99	1.03	1.52
	(0.93-1.05)	(0.97-1.10)	(1.41-1.64)
Other Asian	1.29	1.58	2.60
	(1.17-1.43)	(1.41-1.78)	(2.25-3.01)
Arab	1.00	1.07	1.43
	(0.93-1.07)	(0.99–1.16)	(1.31-1.57)
Latin American	1.12	1.20	1.43
	(1.04-1.21)	(1.11-1.29)	(1.32-1.56)
Black African	1.29	1.57	1.36
	(1.10-1.52)	(1.31-1.88)	(1.09-1.69)
Mixed-race African§	1.07	1.16	2.25
	(0.94-1.22)	(0.99-1.34)	(1.79-2.84)

#### Yusuf Interheart study lancet 2005



•Les critères de diagnostic du syndrome métabolique sont variables.

• Rôle de l'origine ethnique.

•La mesure de la circonférence de la ceinture est imprécise.

 Le diagnostic de syndrome métabolique ne permet pas d'envisager un mécanisme physiopathologique unique.

#### Traitement du syndrome métabolique

- •Qui traiter?
- Pourquoi traiter?
- •Comment traiter?







## (Framingham Heart Study) Metabolic Syndrome as a **Risk Condition**

 Women: RR 1.58 x increased **RR 2.50 x increased** Cardiovascular disease - Men:

Women: RR 5.66 x increased RR 4.76 x increased Type 2 Diabetes - Men:

#### Clinical syndromes associated with insulin resistance

- Essential hypertension
- Polycystic ovary syndrome
- Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
- Certain forms of cancer
- Sleep apnea
- Nephropathy and renal insufficiency

#### Reaven Clinical chemistry 2005



#### Diabetes: A Strong Independent Risk Factor for CVD Mortality (MRFIT Study)



**Other Major Risk Factors** 

\*Age-adjusted per 10,000 person-years. Adapted from Stamler J, et al. *Diabetes Care*. 1993;16:434-444.

Prediction of CHD prevalence using multivariate logistic regression in NHANES					
Variable*	Odds ratio				
•Waist circumference	1.13				
<ul> <li>Triglycerides</li> </ul>	1.12				
•HDL*	1.74				
<ul> <li>Blood pressure*</li> </ul>	1.87				
•IFG	0.98				
•diabetes*	1.55				
<ul> <li>Metabolic syndrome</li> </ul>	0.94 diabetes 2003				

Odd ratio for prediction of diabetes and cardiovascular disease Stern 200 Diabetes				
Prediction of diabetes In the SAHS	univariate	multivariate		
Metabolic syndrome Diabetes risk score	5.08 6.46	1.64 5.50		
Prediction of diabetes In MCDS	univariate	multivariate		
Metabolic syndrome	2.63	1.15		
Diabetes risk score	4.22	4.11		

Odd ratio for prediction of diabetes and cardiovascular disease						
Prediction of CVD In the SAHS	univariate	multivariate				
Metabolic syndrome Framingham risk Score	3.95 9.26	1.14 9.06				
		Stern 2004 Diabetes care				

#### Hazard Ratios for Type 2 Diabetes among 13,163 Men According to Quintiles of Normal Fasting Plasma Glucose Levels

 Table 2. Hazard Ratios for Type 2 Diabetes among 13,163 Men According to Quintiles of Normal Fasting Plasma

 Glucose Levels.\*

Variable	Quintile 1 (N=2529)	Quintile 2 (N=2545)	Quintile 3 (N=2598)	Quintile 4 (N=2719)	Quintile 5 (N=2772)	P Value for Trend
Fasting plasma glucose levels (mg/dl)	50-81	82-86	87-90	91-94	95-99	<del></del>
Person-years of follow-up	13,830	13,969	14,631	15,637	16,242	<del>,</del>
No. of incident cases of diabetes	20	24	37	50	77	
Adjusted risk ratio (95% CI)						
Age	1	1.47 (0.97–2.23)	1.81 (1.16-2.83)	2.33 (1.42-3.83)	3.05 (1.78-5.18)	<0.001
Age and body-mass index	1	1.35 (0.89–2.05)	1.65 (1.06-2.58)	2.17 (1.32–3.56)	2.68 (1.57–4.56)	<0.001
Age, triglyceride level, and body- mass index	1	1.30 (0.86–1.99)	1.58 (1.02-2.48)	2.05 (1.25-3.37)	2.40 (1.40-4.11)	<0.001
Multivariate†	1	1.43 (0.94–2.19)	1.82 (1.16–2.86)	2.64 (1.60-4.37)	2.84 (1.67–4.87)	<0.001

\* CI denotes confidence interval. To convert the values for glucose to millimoles per liter, multiply by 0.05551.

† The multivariate Cox regression model was adjusted for age, body-mass index, and triglyceride levels as continuous variables; physical activity (≤60 or >60 minutes per week or missing information); family history of diabetes (positive, negative, or missing information); and smoking status (never smoked, former smoker, current smoker, or missing information).

Tirosh, A. et al. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1454-1462



# **Relationship Between Metabolic** Syndrome and Events

- Most studies show a relationship between MS
- and events
- But the "whole is not greater than the parts"
- Most studies show "insulin resistance" is an independent risk factor
- Many ways to measure IR
- All are association studies

# There are people who have:

>30	>130 but <140	>150 but <200	>110 but <126	>40 but <60
			1	
BMI	ВР	TRI	<b>FPG</b>	IGH

Do they have increased risk if they do NOT Do they have increased CVD risk? progress to frank disease?

#### Your input

What is your gender?	Male	e Femal
What is your age? Input range: Male:35-65, Female:45-65 years		
What is your LDL-cholesterol level? Input range: 75-250 mg/dl		
What is your HDL-cholesterol level? Input range: 25-75 mg/dl		
What is your fasting triglyceride level? Input range: 50-400 mg/dl		
What is your systolic blood pressure? Input range: 100-225 mmHg		
Have you smoked cigarettes at any time during the past 12 months?	No	Yes
<b>Do you suffer from diabetes mellitus?</b> Known diabetes mellitus or fasting blood glucose levels >=120 mg/dl	Νο	Yes
Did a first-degree relative (father, mother, brother, sister, son, daughter) suffer a heart attack (mvocardial infarction) before the age of 60 years?	No	Yes



	Age	LDL	HDL	Тg	DNID	hta	hxf	risk
P 1	45	150	30	240	non	oui	oui	9%
P 2	45	150	30	240	oui	non	oui	5%





#### The Metabolic Syndrome: Time for a Critical Appraisal

#### Joint statement from the American Diabetes Association and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes

Diabetes care, diabetologia septembre 2005

#### Summary of concern regarding the metabolic syndrome

- 1. Criteria are ambiguous or incomplete.
- 2 Value of including diabetes in definition is questionable
- 3 Insulin resistance as the unifying etiology is uncertain.
- 4. No clear basis for including/ excluding other risk factors
- 5. CVD risk value is variable and dependent on the specific risk factors present.

#### Summary of concern regarding the metabolic syndrome

6.The CVD risk associated with the syndrome appears to be no greater than the sum of its parts

7.Treatment of the metabolic syndrome is no different than the treatment for each of its parts

8. the medical value of diagnosing the syndrome is unclear

The Metabolic Syndrome: Requiescat in Pace Gerald M. Reaven

Clinical chemistry 2005

The myth of the metabolic syndrome

E. Gale Diabetologia 2005 The concept of the metabolic syndrome appeared to be the best way to identify those persons in greatest need of clinical intervention" Grundy SM. Clin Chem 2005

#### Diagnosis and Management of the Metabolic Syndrome

An American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement Grundy Circulation 2005
C'est une étoile filante !!!

### Traitement du syndrome métabolique

- •Qui traiter?
- •Pourquoi traiter?
- •Comment traiter?



The epidemic

## Awareness and prevention are the only ways to contain it.

### **Preventive measures**

- Public awareness and education on metablolic risks
- •Food labels and warning
- Remove of soda/vending machines in schools
- Physical activity compaigns
- •Regular exercise progs in schools
- Maintain and reinforce these measures in high risk populations







210 Calories

2.4 ounces









### Ele Ferrannini ADA 2005 RISC study





Management of weight first, followed by an integrated treatment approach





### Incidence of Diabetes, Lipid Disturbances, Hypertension, and Hyperuricemia among Subjects in the SOS Study over 2- and 10-Year Periods







**Therapeutics goals and recommendations** 

•Physical inactivity :

•Goal : regular moderate- intensity physical

•Recommendations 30-60 min moderate intensity exercise daily



### **Therapeutics goals and recommendations**

Anti atherogenic diet :

•Goals : reduced intakes of saturated fats, trans fats and cholesterol

•Recommendations : saturated fats < 7% of total calories; reduce trans fats, dietary cholesterol < 200 mg daily; total fat < 25-45 % of total calories.

•simple sugars should be limited.

### R.Ekel. Lancet 2005



Therapeutics goals and recommendationsLDL-C levels:

•Goals : high risk patients : LDL< 100mg/100ml, optional < 70

moderately high risk : LDL< 130mg/ 100ml, optional < 100mg/ 100ml

moderate risk patients : LDL < 130mg/ 100ml

•Recommendations : lifestyle therapies and LDLcholesterol lowering drug to achieve recommended goal

### R.Ekel. Lancet 2005

### **Therapeutics goals and recommendations**

•High triglyceride or/ and HDL-C :

- Goal : insufficient data to establish goal
- Recommendations: high risk patients, consider adding fibrates (preferably fenofibrate), fish oil or nicotinic acid to LDL-lowering drug therapy

R.Ekel. Lancet 2005

•AHA 2005

Fenofibrate is useful in primary prevention!Fish oil is useful in secondary prevention?



### High blood pressure

Reduce BP to at least achieve BP of <140/90 mm Hg (or <130/80 mm Hg if diabetes present).</li>
Role of ARB and ACEI is debatable as first line.

# HOPE: Reduction in New-Onset Diabetes



new-onset diabetes compared with patients in the placebo group. Significantly fewer patients in the ramipril group presented with

Yusuf S, et al. JAMA. 2001;286:1882–1885.

Therapeutics goals and recommendationsElevated glucose

•Goals : maintenance or reduction in fasting glucose if >100mg/ 100 m. HbA1c < 7%

•Recommendations : lifestyle therapies; add hypoglycemic agents as necessary to achieve goal fasting glucose or HbA1c. Use metformin or acarbose or glitazones in first line

•Unsolved problems : treatment of IGT, treatment of insulin resistance??

### R.Ekel. Lancet 2005





## Acarbose significantly reduces the risk of cardiovascular disease.





PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events

Lancet 2005



PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events

### Lancet 2005

**Therapeutics goals and recommendations** 

•Prothrombotic state

•Goal : reduction of prothrombotic state

•Recommendations: high risk patients : initiate lowdose aspirin therapy; consider clopidogrel if aspirin is contraindicated

 Moderately high-risk patients: considerlow dose aspirin

### R.Ekel. Lancet 2005

**Therapeutic goals and recommendations** 

•Proinflammatory state :

•Goals and recommendations non specified



### **Conclusions and recommendations**

 Adults with any major risk factor should be evaluated for the presence of other CVD risk factors.

•Patients with CVD risk variable above cutpoint for normal should receive counseling for life-style modification.

•At cutpoint indicative of frank disease (BP>140, glucose > 126 mg/100ml ...), treatment should correspond to established guidelines

### **Conclusions and recommendations**

•The label M.S. may help some health providers to research other risk factors, but we consider the risk engines more helpful (FHS, PROCAM, UKPDS).

•All CVD risk factors should be individually and aggressively treated.

•Until now, no appropriate pharmacological for the metabolic syndrome.

•The insulin sensitizers (metformin, acarbose, pioglitazone) appear reduce CVD in diabetics.

LTX-D -Huang Dee: Nai-Ching (2600 B.C. 1st Chinese Medical ち、谷 disease before evident. +49 blown disease Superior doctors prevent the the full Mediocre doctors treat th inferior doctors treat t 东 天 天

FIG. 5. DM2 and FCHL share many of the phenotypic features of the metabolic syndrome (increased abdominal adiposity, insulin resistance, hypertension, and dyslipidemia), but appear to convey a greater risk of CAD than the metabolic syndrome alone



Carr, M. C. et al. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:2601-2607



Copyright ©2004 The Endocrine Society

Rec	ent Hypertens Versus Primary End	sion T "Old" point (RR	rials With "New" Drugs (±95% cl)
CAPPP	captopril <mark></mark>	I	P = 0.52 (n = 10,985) Lancet 1999
STOP-2	ACEIs/CCBs	Ţ	P = 0.89 (n = 6,614) Lancet 1999
NORDIL	diltiazem	Ţ	P = 0.97 (n = 10,948) Lancet 2000
INSIGHT	nifedipine GITS <mark></mark> -	Ŧ	P = 0.34 (n = 6,321) Lancet 2000
АЦНАТ	doxazosin	Ţ	P = 0.71 (n = 24,335) JAMA 2000
CONVINCE	verapamil-COER	Ţ	P = 0.77 (n = 16,602) JAMA 2003*
LIFE	losartan	ļ	P = 0.021 (n = 9, 193) Lancet 2002
Favors	I         I	1.0 1.2	4 1.6 1.8 2.0 rors "New" Drugs
Kjeldsen SE, e "Black HR, et	it al. Lancet 2000;356:1929-193 al. JAMA, 2003;269:2073-2082.	gi.	

	2				6					(		
18 V 92 V	50·0- 0/·0	0.78 -1.16	OT.T- 0/.0	(0.83 -1.03	(0.79 -0.87		0.91 -1.12)	(0.62 -1.08)	0.73 –1.03)	(0.90 -1.01	(0-84 -0-91	
500	T0-0	0.91(	00.0	0-93 (	0-83		1-01 (	0.82 (	0-87 (	0-95 (	0-88	ب5
	<b>•</b>			$\rightarrow$	♦					♦	¢	1:0
									I			65 L
	(4.4%)	(0.5%) (0.7%)		(1.3%)	(5·7%)		(2·4%)	(0.3%) (0.1%)	(0.1%)	(4-0%)	(%7%)	
0901	noft	291	30C	593	2553		1069	125 57	550	1801	4354	
	(3:4%)	0.6%)	6000	(1.2%)	(4-7%)		(2.4%)	0.2%)	(1·1%)	(3·8%)	(8-5%)	
15.40	0461	202 ( 289 (	603	554 (	2102 (		1094 (	98 ( 51 (	487 (	1730 (	3832 (	
				ar								
uses:		ular		HD vascu	ar	ar causes		~	uwou	iscular		
scular ca	2	troke Ither vasc		iy non-Cl	ıy vasculi	n-vascul	ancer	espirator	ther/unk	y non-vë	y death	
N Car	5	ΛC	)	An	An	Ň	0	~ ⊢	- 0	An	An	






Metabolic syndrome as predictor of CVD •MS increases risk of CHD •MS predicts 25% of all new-onset CVD •10-year risk of MS in men is between 10-20% Women with MS <10% of CVD risk</li> •No advantage above Framingham risk factors

## Treatment Approaches to Metabolic Syndrome

"All current guidelines on the management of the individual components of the metabolic modification (weight loss and physical syndrome emphasize that lifestyle activity) is first-line therapy." Grundy SM, Hansen B, Smith SC, et al. Clinical Management of the metabolic syndrome. Report of the American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute/American Diabetes Association Conference on Scientific Issues Related to Management. *Circulation*. 2004;109:551-556



Management of weight first, followed by an integrated treatment approach





## **Therapeutics goals and recommendations**

Abdominal obesity:

•Goal : 10% weight loss first year, threafter continued weight loss or maintain weight.

•Recommendations : caloric restriction; regular exercise; behaviour modification.

R.Ekel. Lancet 2005

# Weight Loss Leads To 4VAT

- Weight loss
- Visceral fat turnover is much greater than that of subcutaneous fat:
- A 10% weight loss may VAT by 40%
- Alter the metabolism of VAT





Purnell JQ et al. 7 Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2000.







**Therapeutics goals and recommendations** 

•Physical inactivity :

•Goal : regular moderate- intensity physical

•Recommendations 30-60 min moderate intensity exercise daily





## **Aerobic Exercise Improves** Insulin Sensitivity

0







Prediction of CHD Prevalence using Multivariate Logistic Regression: NHANES

Variable	Odds Ratio	Lower 95% Limit	Upper 95% Limit
Vaist Circumference	1.13	0.85	1.51
'nglycendes	1.12	0.71	1076
<b>IDL Chalesterol</b>	1.74	1.18	2.58
Bood Pressure	1,87	1.37	2.56
npaired Fasting Glucose	96.0	0.60	1.54
Dishetes	1.55	1.07	2.25
fetabolic Syndrome	0.94	0.54	1.68

Alexander C, et al. Diabetes 52: 1210-1214, 2003

	Cases	Controls
Patients	12 461	14637
Females	3002	3786
Males	9459	10851
Mean age (SD)	58.1 (12.2)	56.9 (12.2)
Prevalence of risk factors (%)		
Current smokers	45.2%	26.8%
Diabetes	18.5%	7.5%
Hypertension	39.0%	21.9%
Daily vegetable and fruit intake	35.8%	42.4%
Regular exercise*	14.3%	19.3%
Regular alcohol intake†	24.0%	24.5%
Median ApoB (IQ range)	0.95 (0.78–1.1	3) 0.90 (0.74–1.07)
Median ApoA (IQ range)	1.10 (0.96–1.2	6) 1.19 (1.03–1.37)
Median ApoB/Apo-A ratio	0.87 (0.70–1.0	5) 0.75 (0.60-0.93)
(IQ range)		

## Yusuf lancet 2005

### FIG. 1. Insulin resistance and MetS score



The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism

## Clinical Outcomes of Metabolic Syndrome

## Cardiovascular Disease

## Type 2 Diabetes → CVD

## Other conditions:

- Fatty liver
- Polycystic ovary disease
  - Sleep disturbances
- Cholesterol galistones



	Odds Ratio (95% CI)			Odds Ratio (95% CI)		
	1 SD	Adjusted for age, sex, and region	Additionally adjusted for WHR or BMI	1 SD (women/men)	Women	Men
Measure (units)						
6%9 (kg/m²)	415	1-10 (1-07-1-13)	1-02 (0-99–1-04)°	470/3-89	1.04 (0.98–1.09)*	1-00 (0-97-1-04)*
Waist cincumference (cm)	12/08	1-19 (1-16-1-22)	1-25 (1-21-1-30) (	1247/11-58	1-40 (1-30–1-51)†	1-19 (1-14-1-24)†
Hip circumference (cm)	10-96	096 (094-099)	0-87 (0-840-89) t	12-18/10-35	0:92 (0-860-99)†	0-85 (0-820-89)†
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.085	1-37 (1-34-1-41)	1·37 (1·33–1·40 <b>)†</b>	0-029/0-078	1 34 (1 27 - 1 42)†	1-35 (1-31-1-40)†
Waist-to-height	0-072	1-19 (1-16-1-22)	1-24 (1-20-1-29)†	0.082/0.066	1-39 (1-29–1-50)†	1-18 (1-13-1-23)†

## Yusuf lancet 2005

## **Intervention Therapies**

- Standard Lifestyle
  - annual lifestyle counselling
- Intensive Lifestyle
  - weight loss target of  $\sqrt{7\%}$ ( $\sqrt{5\%}$  achieved over 3 years)
  - low-fat diet and 150 min exercise per week
  - personal trainer and individual lifestyle program
  - behavior modification skills
- Glucophage therapy.
  - one 850 mg tablet twice a day



# Weight Loss Leads To 4VAT

- Weight loss
- Visceral fat turnover is much greater than that of subcutaneous fat:
- A 10% weight loss may VAT by 40%
- Alter the metabolism of VAT



## **Therapeutics goals and recommendations**

Abdominal obesity:

•Goal : 10% weight loss first year, threafter continued weight loss or maintain weight.

•Recommendations : caloric restriction; regular exercise; behavior modification.

R.Ekel. Lancet 2005



## Critères métaboliques supplémentaires pour recherche

- •Répartition anormale du tissu adipeux.
- •Dyslipidémie athérogène: Apo B, LDL
- Dysglycémie
- •Résistance à l'insuline. HOMA, clamp, Quicki
- Dysfonction vasculaire : microalbuminurie
- •Etat inflammatoire.
- •Etat prothrombotique

 Dysfonctionnement de l'axe hypothalamo – hypophyso - surrénalien.



## Ele Ferrannini ADA 2005 RISC study



### Yusuf lancet 2005



## Yusuf lancet 2005



## Ele Ferannini ADA 2005 RISC study



## Ele Ferannini ADA 2005 RISC study

## Prevalence by Glucose Intolerance



Source: Alexander CM et al NCEP-defined metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and prevalence of coronary heart disease among NHANES III participants age 50 years and older. Diabetes 2003;52:1210-1214

### Metabolic Syndrome Prevalence (%)